

www.jpnim.com Open Access eISSN: 2281-0692 Journal of Pediatric and Neonatal Individualized Medicine 2015;4(2):e040214 doi: 10.7363/040214 Received: 2015 July 30; accepted: 2015 Oct 15; published online: 2015 Oct 27

Review

Antibiotics and antifungals in VLBW infants

Laura Cuzzolin

Department of Diagnostics & Public Health - Section of Pharmacology, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

Proceedings

Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop on Neonatology and Satellite Meetings Cagliari (Italy) · October 26th-31st, 2015 *From the womb to the adult* Guest Editors: Vassilios Fanos (Cagliari, Italy), Michele Mussap (Genoa, Italy), Antonio Del Vecchio (Bari, Italy), Bo Sun (Shanghai, China), Dorret I. Boomsma (Amsterdam, the Netherlands),

Gavino Faa (Cagliari, Italy), Antonio Giordano (Philadelphia, USA)

Abstract

Very low birth weight infants are particularly vulnerable to bacterial and fungal infections. This leads to a common use of antiinfectives, often on a prophylactic basis. Due to the limited available information and the lack of guidelines, the use of antibacterials and antifungals in preterm newborns admitted to Neonatal Intensive Care Units is characterized by a large variability and these drugs are frequently given with different modalities, particularly as regards dosage and frequency, and in an *off-label* manner. This article provides an updated overview of the current situation on the use of antiinfectives in prematures, by reporting information derived by an analysis of the literature.

Keywords

Preterm newborn, antibiotics, antifungals, off-label use.

Corresponding author

Laura Cuzzolin, Department of Diagnostics & Public Health – Section of Pharmacology, University of Verona, Policlinico G.B. Rossi, Piazzale L.A. Scuro, 37134 Verona, Italy; phone: +39 045 8027609; fax: +39 045 8027452; e-mail: laura.cuzzolin@univr.it.

How to cite

Cuzzolin L. Antibiotics and antifungals in VLBW infants. J Pediatr Neonat Individual Med. 2015;4(2):e040214. doi: 10.7363/040214.

Introduction

In the last decades, the survival of very low birth weight (VLBW) infants (BW < 1,500 g) has improved dramatically due to advances in perinatal and neonatal care and better understanding of their physiopathology [1]. These neonates, characterized by a great immaturity, are more exposed to risks to develop different morbidities such as respiratory problems, patency of ductus arteriosus, NEC, IVH and ROP [2]. In particular, VLBW infants are vulnerable to bacterial and fungal infections (up to one fourth develop hospital-acquired infections) due to the immaturity of the immune system and to predisposing factors such as maternal chorioamnionitis, ventilator care, catheterization and total parenteral alimentation [3]. This leads to a common use of antiinfectives, often on a prophylactic basis [4]. As regards antibiotics, a prolonged empirical therapy is associated to adverse outcomes and could lead to unnecessary exposure causing a selective pressure for antibiotic resistance, therefore it should be applied only when necessary at the best possible option [5-8]. Antifungal prophylaxis is currently applied in different NICUs with successful results and fluconazole is the recommended drug for neonates lower than 1,000 g and/or 27 weeks' gestation or less in nurseries that have a high incidence of invasive candidiasis [9, 10], mortality rates associated to candidemia ranging from 40 to 50% in these subjects [11].

At this moment, a large variability in the use of antibacterials and antifungals for the treatment of suspected/confirmed neonatal sepsis persists among European NICUs [12, 13] and most agents are still used in an off-label manner [14-16]. With some exceptions, antibiotics are licensed for use in the neonate, but are frequently administered with different modalities particularly as regards dosage and frequency [17, 18]. First-line treatment of fungal infections includes amphotericin B, fluconazole or micafungin. However, information on pharmacokinetics and doses in prematures are still limited and these drugs are frequently used in an off-label manner, being micafungin the only antifungal reporting information for use in preterm newborns [19].

In this article an updated overview of the use of antibiotics and antifungals in preterm newborns admitted to NICUs will be presented, by analyzing the literature available in particular as regards the current research situation and the *off-label* use of these drugs.

Antibiotics

The incidence of infections is higher in the neonatal period than at any time of life and management of early-onset and late-onset bacterial infections, accounting for the major part of mortality and morbidity in VLBW infants [5], is an everyday challenge for neonatologists.

The basic treatment of neonates with suspected/ proven bacterial infections has not substantially changed over the last years, but the increasing problem of multidrug-resistant bacteria encourage the development and subsequent approval of new antibiotics for use in preterm newborns, particularly vulnerable to bacterial infections [3].

Important initiatives have been adopted both in Europe and the USA to favour a rapid development of drugs, comprised antibiotics, to be safely used in neonates. These initiatives comprise the introduction of the Paediatric Regulation n. 1901 on 26 January 2007 [20] and of the FDA Safety and Innovation Act in 2012 to advance neonatal drug studies [21], the preparation by EMA of a priority list of off-patent drugs (comprising antibiotics) with the highest need for studies in preterm and term neonates [22], the funding of some projects by the EU [23].

Despite these encouraging initiatives, new antibiotics approved in the last years in the EU rarely have been studied in the preterm newborn.

Some authors [24] analyzed new antibiotics for paediatric use by reviewing a decade of regulatory trials submitted to EMA from 2000, before and after the introduction of the European Paediatric Regulation. As regards the 11 antibiotics newly approved for use in the adult, 31 clinical trials enrolling also children were identified in Europe, but many of these trials did not provide a neonatal subset analysis (only 6/31 involve neonatal population), some studies have been prematurely terminated and others are apparently active but still not recruiting patients (**Tab. 1**).

In a systematic review [25] the authors analyzed all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving neonates and antibiotics used in the last 15 years. A total of 35 trials (involving 13 different antibiotics) were evaluated: most of these studies have been conducted nationwide and hospital-based. RCTs of antibacterial agents to prevent or treat infections in neonates resulted poorly designed and reported, underlying the difficulties in conducting studies in neonates. There was no increase in the number and quality **Table 1.** Antibiotics approved in the EU during the period2000-2012: information about paediatric population(modified from: Garazzino et al., 2013 [24]).

Drug	EU approved indications in children	Paediatric Trials n = 31	PIP
Aztreonam lysine	None	3	None
Ceftaroline fosamil	None	2	0-18 years
Daptomycin	None	2	None
Doripenem	None	2ª + 3	0-18 years
Ertapenem	Children > 3 months	2	None
Fidaxomicin	None	1 ª	0-18 years
Linezolid	None	2ª + 3	None
Moxifloxacin	None	1ª + 3	> 3 months
Retapamulin	Children > 9 months	3	None
Telithromycin	None	2	None
Tigecyclin	None	2	8-18 years

aneonatal population.

PIP: Paediatric Investigation Plan.

of RCTs over the years. Gentamicin was the most frequently studied antibiotic (11 RCTs).

In an interesting paper published last year [26], the authors tried to quantify progress made in neonatal studies and neonatal information in product labelling as a result of recent legislation. By reviewing FDA databases between 1997 and 2010, 28 drugs examined in 41 different studies included also neonates and lead to 24 related labelling changes (6% on a total of 406 paediatric labelling changes made during the study period) while among 4 of the products studied in neonates that did not obtain a labelling change 3 regarded antibiotics (ophthalmic ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin and gatifloxacin). Among these 24 labelling changes, only 11 (46%) implied an approval for use in neonates and only one regarded antibiotics (linezolid). The remaining 13 labelling changes (54%), comprising linezolid for CNS infections and caspofungin, reported the statement "safety and effectiveness have not been established". The number of neonates enrolled in the studies was relatively small.

Another aspect that need a deepen analysis regards how antibiotics, the most commonly prescribed medications in NICUs, are used in preterm newborns. In fact, antibiotics are frequently used with different modalities [17, 18] and in an *off-label* manner [14, 16].

Some authors [15] examined data on antibiotic use in some NICUs and paediatric wards of three

European countries (UK, Italy, Greece). During a two-week study period, 110 neonates (62 in the UK, 38 in Italy and 10 in Greece) admitted to 4 NICUs received a total of 290 antibiotic prescriptions, among which 218 (75%) resulted *off-label* mainly for deviations from doses and/ or frequency (42.8%), rarely for age (12 episodes regarding mostly meropenem, but also imipenem and ciprofloxacin). The antibiotics most frequently prescribed at doses other than those recommended were aminoglycosides (gentamicin and amikacin): compared to UK Units, in Italy differences consisted in the administration of a lower total daily dose or different fractioning, while in Greece higher doses were significantly more commonly used.

Within the TINN European project set up under the FP7 programme, some authors [12] focused their attention on ciprofloxacin, included in the EMA's priority list of off-patent products with the highest need for studies in neonates. Questionnaires on the current use of this antibiotic from 189 European NICUs were analyzed. Ciprofloxacin was used only in 25% of NICUs in cases of culture-proven bacterial sepsis due to multidrugresistant organisms: dosages varied enormously between countries and between NICUs and the most commonly used regimen was 20 mg/kg/day (10-15-20-25-30-45 mg/kg/day). No guidelines on the use of ciprofloxacin for sepsis were found.

In another paper [27], the same authors analyzed the use of ciprofloxacin in Italian NICUs. Data were obtained from 38 Italian NICUs. Only 5 wards (13%) used ciprofloxacin i.v. at different dosages: 20 mg/kg/day (12 h intervals) or 10 mg/ kg/day (8-12 h intervals).

As part of the FP7 TINN2 Project, a survey [28] was undertaken to evaluate the role of azithromycin, the drug of choice used off-label throughout Europe, in preventing BPD and Ureaplasma spp. colonization. 167 NICUs of 28 different European countries adhered to the survey: four countries (UK, Italy, France and Spain) covered 44% of the data (74/167 Units). Prematurity (G.A. ≤ 28 weeks) and high oxygen requirements were the two major perceived risk factors for BPD and 66.4% of NICUs identified the presence of *Ureaplasma spp.* as an increased risk of BPD. The estimated rate of Ureaplasma *spp.* colonization in neonates < 28 weeks gestation ranged between 25% and 50% and an antibiotic treatment was applied in 79 NICUs (47%). In case of proven infection, azithromycin was used in 27% of NICUs in 12 countries, but the most widely used

macrolide was erythromycin (30/79 NICUs, 38%) particularly in the UK, Germany and Switzerland.

Antifungals

Invasive fungal infections are associated with high morbidity and mortality in neonates, with the highest incidence in VLBW and ELBW infants [29]: *Candida spp.* colonization, the main risk factor for the development of invasive candidiasis, results three times more common in neonates born < 26 weeks of gestation or with a birth weight < 750 g [30].

Antifungals currently used in the newborn for the treatment of fungal infections, mainly caused by *Candida spp.*, are polyene compounds (amphotericin B and lipid preparations), triazoles (fluconazole) and echinocandins (micafungin and caspofungin) [5, 19].

Fluconazole, approved by EMA for the treatment of candidiasis in term newborns and included in the EMA's priority list of off-patent products with the highest need for studies in neonates, is emerging as the agent of choice for antifungal prophylaxis. However, its routine use in VLBW is controversial as regards its real efficacy in preventing Candida spp. infections, but also concerns including neurodevelopmental toxicity and emergence of drug resistance [31, 32]. Despite these controversies and the lack of license status for preterm newborns, the Scientific Societies support antifungal prophylaxis with fluconazole at a dose of 3-6 mg/kg twice weekly for neonates < 1,000 g and/or ≤ 27 weeks of gestation admitted in NICUs where frequency of invasive fungal infections is relatively high [9, 10].

As regards current research, the Pediatric Trials Network analyzed all randomized clinical trials concerning fluconazole use in neonates. At this moment, only three studies are ongoing: two European studies are designed to evaluate the safety of fluconazole in the neonatal population and to compare this antifungal with micafungin, while an Asiatic study is investigating the pharmacokinetics of fluconazole in preterm infants [33].

Within the TINN European project set up under the FP7 programme, some authors [12] evaluated the current use of fluconazole by analyzing questionnaires from 189 European NICUs. 70% of NICUs administered fluconazole for treatment of systemic fungal infection. Despite international guidelines suggest fluconazole as an option in the treatment of invasive candidiasis at a dosage of 12 mg/kg/day, dosage used varied significantly in different NICUs, with wide ranges in the unit dose (3-6-12-20 mg/kg) and frequency (24-48-72 h). Transformed into total daily doses, the reported data ranged from 1 to 20 mg/kg/day, with 34% of NICUs administering ≤ 4 mg/kg/day and 49% ≥ 6 mg/kg/day.

In another paper [27], the same authors analyzed the use of fluconazole in Italian NICUs. Data were obtained from 38 Italian NICUs. 30 NICUs (79%) declared using fluconazole for prophylaxis in subjects < 1,500 g. Differences were found between NICUs in the dosage schemes: 3-6 mg/kg every 24-48-72 h. Average duration of prophylaxis ranged from 10 to 45 days. 17 NICUs administered fluconazole for treatment (cases of sepsis with identification of *Candida spp.*). Treatment schemes also varied between NICUs: 3-6-12 mg/ kg/day, mostly i.v., average duration of therapy 10-30 days.

As regards the other antifungals, micafungin is the only drug authorized for neonatal use by EMA, with reliable published body of evidence regarding pharmacokinetics, efficacy and safety in newborns [19]. Amphotericin B formulations are a valid alternative, but the lack of data in neonates and its potential toxicity suggest that this antifungal should be used only as a second line [34].

Conclusions

Despite some recent important initiatives adopted both in the USA and Europe [20-23], antiinfective therapy in preterm newborns results already complicated by limited clinical testing and prescribing information for this patient population, confirming the widespread use of antiinfectives in an off-label manner [14-16]. Moreover, a great variability in the use of these drugs among NICUs has been reported [12, 17, 18]. Undoubtedly, it is extremely difficult to standardize antibiotic and antifungal treatments in VLBW and ELBW infants, as the choice of the empirical treatment depends on the clinical context and local epidemiology. However, other factors could contribute to the different clinical approaches in the treatment of bacterial and fungal infections such as the lack of evidencebased guidelines [12].

For all these reasons, preterm newborns admitted to NICUs, who represent the most vulnerable paediatric subpopulation, remain a group of patients at increased risk not only of ineffective antiinfective treatments, but also of adverse drug reactions and medication errors [35, 36]. As suggested by some authors [37], only a strong collaboration among all those dealing with drug use in neonates as well as a harmonization of interventions will ensure that this patient population do not remain therapeutic orphans.

Declaration of interest

The Author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Jefferies AL, Kirpalani HM; Canadian Pediatric Society Fetus and Newborn Committee. Counselling and management for anticipated extremely preterm birth. Paediatr Child Health. 2012;17(8):443-6.
- Papageorgiou A, Pelausa E. Management and outcome of extremely low birth weight infants. J Pediatr Neonat Individual Med. 2014;3(2):e030209.
- Marchant EA, Boyce GK, Sadarangani M, Lavoie PM. Neonatal sepsis due to coagulase-negative staphylococci. Clin Dev Immunol. 2013;2013:586076.
- Fairchild KD. Predictive monitoring for early detection of sepsis in neonatal ICU patients. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2013;25(2):172-9.
- Fanos V, Cuzzolin L, Atzei A, Testa M. Antibiotics and antifungals in neonatal intensive care units: a review. J Chemother. 2007;19:5-20.
- Kuppala VS, Meinzen-Derr J, Morrow AL, Schibler KR. Prolonged initial empirical antibiotic treatment is associated with adverse outcomes in premature infants. J Pediatr. 2011;159(5):720-5.
- Smith PB, Benjamin DK Jr. Choosing the right empirical antibiotics for neonates. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2011;96(1):F2-3.
- Russell AB, Sharland M, Heath PT. Improving antibiotic prescribing in neonatal units: time to act. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2012;97(2):F141-6.
- Kaufman DA. Challenging issues in neonatal candidiasis. Curr Med Res Opin. 2010;26(7):1769-78.
- Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Benjamin DK Jr, Calandra TF, Edwards JE Jr, Filler SG, Fisher JF, Kullberg BJ, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Reboli AC, Rex JH, Walsh TJ, Sobel JD; Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48:503-35.
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Adams-Chapman I, Fanaroff AA, Hintz SR, Vohr B, Higgins RD. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Neurodevelopmental and growth impairment among extremely low-birth-weight infants with neonatal infection. JAMA. 2004;292(19):2357-65.
- Pandolfini C, Kaguelidou F, Sequi M, Jacqz-Aigrain E, Choonara I, Turner MA, Manzoni P, Bonati M. Wide intra- and inter-country variability in drug use and dosage in very-low-birth-weight newborns with severe infections. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2013;69:1031-6.

- Kaguelidou F, Pandolfini C, Manzoni P, Choonara I, Bonati M, Jacqz-Aigrain E. European survey on the use of prophylactic fluconazole in neonatal intensive care units. Eur J Pediatr. 2012;171:439-45.
- Zingg W, Posfay-Barbe KM. Antibiotic use in children: off-label use. Curr Drug Targets. 2012;13(7):885-92.
- Porta A, Esposito S, Menson E, Spyridis N, Tsolia M, Sharland M, Principi N. Off-label antibiotic use in children in three European countries. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2010;66(9):919-27.
- de Jong J, van den Berg PB, Visser ST, de Vries TW, de Jong-van den Berg LTW. Antibiotic usage, dosage and course length in children between 0 and 4 years. Acta Paediatr. 2009;98:1142-8.
- Kadambari S, Heath PT, Sharland M, Lewis S, Nichols A, Turner MA. Variation in gentamicin and vancomycin dosage and monitoring in UK neonatal units. J Antimicr Chemother. 2011;66:2647-50.
- Leroux S, Zhao W, Betremieux P, Pladys P, Saliba E, Jacqz-Aigrain E, on behalf of the French Society of Neonatology. Therapeutic guidelines for prescribing antibiotics in neonates should be evidencebased: a French national survey. Arch Dis Child. 2015;100:394-8.
- Hope WW, Castagnola E, Groll AH, Roilides E, Akova M, Arendrup MC, Arikan-Akdagli S, Bassetti M, Bille J, Cornely OA, Cuenca-Estrella M, Donnelly JP, Garbino J, Herbrecht R, Jensen HE, Kullberg BJ, Lass-Florl C, Lortholary O, Meersseman W, Petrikkos G, Richardson MD, Verweij PE, Viscoli C, Ullmann AJ, for the ESCMID Fungal Infection Study Group (EFISG). ESCMID guideline for the diagnosis and management of Candida diseases 2012: prevention and management of invasive infections in neonates and children caused by Candida spp. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18(Suppl 7):38-52.
- European Union. Regulation (EC) N° 1901/2006 on Medicinal Products for Paediatric Use and Amending Regulation (EEC) N° 1768/92, Directive 2001/20/EC, Directive 2001/83/EC and Regulation (EC) N° 726/2004. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/ health/human-use/paediatric-medicines/index_en.htm, last access: 9 August 2013.
- Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act. Pub L N° 112-144, 2012..
- European Medicines Agency. Updated priority list (revised) for studies into off-patent paediatric medicinal products. Doc. Ref. EMEA/197972/2007. London: EMEA, 2007.
- Jacqz-Aigrain E. Drug policy in Europe. Research and funding in neonates: current challenges, future perspectives, new opportunities. Early Hum Dev. 2011;87(Suppl 1):S27-30.
- 24. Garazzino S, Lutsar I, Bertaina C, Tovo PA, Sharland M. New antibiotics for paediatric use: a review of a decade of regulatory trials submitted to the European Medicines Agency from 2000 – why aren't we doing better? Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2013;42:99-118.
- Kaguelidou F, Turner MA, Choonara I, van Anker J, Manzoni P, Alberti C, Langhendries JP, Jacqz-Aigrain E. Randomized controlled trials of antibiotics for neonatal infections: a systematic review. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2013;76:21-9.
- Laughon MM, Avant D, Tripathi N, Hornik CP, Cohen-Wolkowiez M, Clark RH, Brian Smith P, Rodriguez W. Drug labelling and exposure in neonates. JAMA Pediatr. 2014;168(2):130-6.

- Pandolfini C, Sequi M, Manzoni P, Bonati M. The use of ciprofloxacin and fluconazole in Italian neonatal intensive care units: a nationwide survey. BMC Pediatr. 2013;13:5.
- Pansieri C, Pandolfini C, Elie V, Turner MA, Kotecha S, Jacqz-Aigrain E, Bonati M. Ureaplasma, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, and azithromycin in European neonatal intensive care units: a survey. Sci Rep. 2014;4:4076.
- 29. Benjamin DK Jr, Stoll BJ, Gantz MG Benjamin DK Jr, Stoll BJ, Gantz MG, Walsh MC, Sánchez PJ, Das A, Shankaran S, Higgins RD, Auten KJ, Miller NA, Walsh TJ, Laptook AR, Carlo WA, Kennedy KA, Finer NN, Duara S, Schibler K, Chapman RL, Van Meurs KP, Frantz ID 3rd, Phelps DL, Poindexter BB, Bell EF, O'Shea TM, Watterberg KL, Goldberg RN; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Neonatal candidiasis: epidemiology, risk factors, and clinical judgment. Pediatrics. 2010;126:e865-73.
- Austin N, McGuire W. Prophylactic systemic antifungal agents to prevent mortality and morbidity in very low birth weight infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;4:CD003850.
- Benjamin DK, Hudak ML, Duara S, Randolph DA, Bidegain M, Mundakel GT, Natarajan G, Burchfield DJ, White RD, Shattuck KE, Neu N, Bendel CM, Kim R, Finer NN, Stewart DL, Arrieta AC, Wade KC, Kaufman DA, Manzoni P, Prather KO, Testoni D,

Berezny KY, Smith B; Fluconazole Prophylaxis Study Team. Effect of fluconazole prophylaxis on candidiasis and mortality in premature infants: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2014;311(7):1742-9.

- Pansieri C, Pandolfini C, Jacqz-Aigrain E, van den Anker J, Bonati M. Fluconazole prophylaxis in neonates. Arch Dis Child. 2015;100(1):75-6.
- 33. Aliaga S, Clark RH, Laughon M, Walsh TJ, Hope WW, Benjamin DK, Kaufman D, Arrieta A, Benjamin DK Jr, Smith PB. Changes in the incidence of candidiasis in neonatal intensive care units. Pediatrics. 2014;133(2):236-42.
- Manzoni P, Mostert M, Castagnola E. Update on the management of Candida infections in preterm neonates. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2015 Jan 20. [Epub ahead of print].
- Mason J, Pirmohamed M, Nunn T. Off-label and unlicensed medicine use and adverse drug reactions in children: a narrative review of the literature. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2012;68(1):21-8.
- Stavroudis TA, Shore AD, Morlock L, Hicks RW, Bundy D, Miller MR. NICU medication errors: identifying a risk profile for medication errors in the neonatal intensive care unit. J Perinatol. 2010;30(7):459-68.
- Cuzzolin L. Variability in drug use among newborns admitted to NICUs: a proposal for a European multicentre study. J Pediatr Neonat Individual Med. 2014;3(1):e030122.